arXiv:hep-th/9904176v1 26 Apr 1999

Perturbations in k-inflation

Jaume Garriga[1,2] and V.F. Mukhanov[1]

February 1, 2008

[1]Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Sektion Physik,
München, Germany.
[2]IFAE, Departament de Física, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,
08193 Bellaterra, Spain

Abstract

We extend the theory of cosmological perturbations to the case when the "matter" Lagrangian is an arbitrary function of the scalar field and its first derivatives. In particular, this extension provides a unified description of known cases such as the usual scalar field and the hydrodynamical perfect fluid. In addition, it applies to the recently proposed k-inflation, which is driven by non-minimal kinetic terms in the Lagrangian. The spectrum of quantum fluctuations for slow-roll and power law k-inflation is calculated. We find, for instance, that the usual "consistency relation" between the tensor spectral index and the relative amplitude of scalar and tensor perturbations is modified. Thus, at least in principle, k-inflation is phenomenologically distinguishable from standard inflation.

Introduction. The quantum theory of cosmological perturbations is one of the most interesting examples of quantum field theory in an external classical field. Indeed, the spectrum of metric perturbations generated during inflation is probably the only prediction of quantum field theory in external fields (besides the Casimir effect) which can be tested "experimentally" in the near future. The quantum theory of linearized cosmological perturbations is well developed in the case of a usual scalar field with the standard kinetic

term and arbitrary potential, and also for a hydrodynamical perfect fluid [1]. This theory is sufficient to predict the main features of the generated spectrum for a wide class of inflationary models, as long as the accelerated expansion of the early Universe is due to the "potential" energy of a scalar field. Recently, however, a different mechanism for driving inflation, called $k-$inflation, was presented in [2]. In $k-$inflation, the accelerated expansion is due to non-minimal kinetic terms in the action, and the existing theory of cosmological perturbations does not apply. Motivated by this development, we extend here the quantum theory of cosmological perturbation to the case of the most general local Lagrangian which depends on the scalar field and its first derivatives. This extension is actually quite versatile. It allows us to describe in a unified way the "old" cases of the usual scalar field and hydrodynamics, and it is also applicable to the "new " models admitting kinetic inflation. As we shall see, some of the basic predictions for the cosmological perturbation spectrum in k-inflation can be quite different from the ones in standard inflation. In this paper, we shall concentrate in the derivation of the main formal results. A detailed analysis of the perturbation spectra in phenomenologically viable models k-inflation will be the subject of a forthcoming publication[3].

Setup. We consider the most general local action for a scalar field coupled to Einstein gravity, which involves at most first derivatives of the field,

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int \sqrt{g}Rd^4x + \int \sqrt{g}\ p(X,\varphi)d^4x, \tag{1}$$

where g is the determinant of the metric, R is the Ricci scalar and

$$X = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\mu\varphi. \tag{2}$$

The Lagrangian for the scalar field is called here p because it plays the role of pressure [2]. Indeed, varying the matter Lagrangian with respect to the metric we obtain the energy momentum tensor in the form

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + p)u_\mu u_\nu - pg_{\mu\nu}. \tag{3}$$

where

$$u_\mu = \frac{\varphi_{,\mu}}{(2X)^{1/2}}$$

and the energy density is given by

$$\varepsilon = 2X\ p_{,X} - p. \tag{4}$$

Here $p_{,X}$ denotes partial derivative of the Lagrangian with respect to X.

We see from (3) that the Lagrangian (1) can be used to describe the potential motions of hydrodynamical fluid as well as to draw a useful analogy with hydrodynamics in the case of arbitrary Lagrangian for a scalar field. Indeed, if p depends only on X, then $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(X)$. In many cases the equation (4) can be solved giving the equation of state $p = p(\varepsilon)$ for an "isentropic" fluid. For instance, if $p \propto X^n$,the corresponding equation of state is $p = \varepsilon/(2n-1)$. In the general case, when $p = p(X, \varphi)$, the pressure cannot be expressed only in terms of ε, since φ and X are independent. However, even in this case the hydrodynamical analogy is still rather useful.

Background. As a background we consider an expanding Friedmann Universe with an arbitrary spatial curvature ($K = 0, \pm 1$):

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)\,\gamma_{ik}dx^i dx^k, \tag{5}$$

where the spatial metric γ_{ik} can describe flat, open or closed Universe. Two independent equations for two unknown background variables $\varphi_0(t)$ and $a(t)$ can be written down in the familiar form

$$H^2 + \frac{K}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\varepsilon, \tag{6}$$

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = -3H(\varepsilon + p), \tag{7}$$

where $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble constant and a dot denotes derivative with respect to the physical time t. For later reference it is also useful to write down other redundant forms of these equations. Substituting (6) in (7) we immediately get

$$\dot{H} = \frac{K}{a^2} - 4\pi G(\varepsilon + p). \tag{8}$$

Taking into account that $\dot{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_{,X}\dot{X} + \varepsilon_{,\varphi}\dot{\varphi}$ and using (7) we obtain the following expression for the time derivative of the pressure

$$\dot{p} = p_{,X}\dot{X} + p_{,\varphi}\dot{\varphi} = -3c_S^2 H(\varepsilon + p) + \dot{\varphi}(p_{,\varphi} - c_S^2\varepsilon_{,\varphi}). \tag{9}$$

Here we have introduced the notation

$$c_S^2 \equiv \frac{p_{,X}}{\varepsilon_{,X}} = \frac{\varepsilon + p}{2X\varepsilon_{,X}}. \tag{10}$$

As we will see later, c_S plays the role of the "speed of sound" for perturbations.

Equations for perturbations. Considering small inhomogeneities of the scalar field, that is

$$\varphi(t,x) = \varphi_0(t) + \delta\varphi(t,x), \tag{11}$$

and taking into account that $\delta T_k^i \propto \delta_k^i$ one can write the metric of the "perturbed Universe" in the longitudinal gauge as [1]

$$ds^2 = (1+2\Phi)\,dt^2 - (1-2\Phi)\,a^2(t)\,\gamma_{ik}dx^i dx^k, \tag{12}$$

where Φ is the newtonian gravitational potential.

The perturbations $\delta\varphi$ induce the appropriate perturbations in the components of the energy momentum tensor (3), which can be easily expressed in terms of $\delta\varphi/\dot{\varphi}_0(t)$ and Φ as

$$\delta T_0^0 = \delta\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{,X}\delta X + \varepsilon_{,\varphi}\delta\varphi = \frac{\varepsilon+p}{c_S^2}\left[\left(\frac{\delta\varphi}{\dot{\varphi}}\right)^{\cdot} - \Phi\right] - 3H(\varepsilon+p)\frac{\delta\varphi}{\dot{\varphi}} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\delta T_i^0 = (\varepsilon+p)\left(\frac{\delta\varphi}{\dot{\varphi}}\right)_{,i} \tag{14}$$

In deriving (13) we used the definition (2) of X and the equations (7), (10). We also skip from here the index 0 for the background value of $\varphi(t)$. These expressions for δT should be substituted into the 00 and 0i linearized Einstein equations

$$\frac{1}{a^2}\Delta\Phi - 3H\dot{\Phi} + 3\left(\frac{K}{a^2} - H^2\right)\Phi = 4\pi G\delta T_0^0, \tag{15}$$

$$(\dot{\Phi} + H\Phi)_{,i} = 4\pi G\delta T_i^0. \tag{16}$$

The other Einstein equations give no additional information. They can be obtained as a combination of the above equations and we shall not write

them down here. The equations (15-16) with δT given above can be cast in the following form

$$\left(\frac{\delta\varphi}{\dot{\varphi}}\right)^{\cdot} = \left(1 + \frac{c_S^2\left(\Delta + 3K\right)}{4\pi G a^2\left(\varepsilon + p\right)}\right)\Phi, \tag{17}$$

$$\left(a\Phi\right)^{\cdot} = 4\pi G a\left(\varepsilon + p\right)\frac{\delta\varphi}{\dot{\varphi}}. \tag{18}$$

A more pleasant form of these equations is obtained by changing from the independent variables Φ and $\delta\varphi/\dot{\varphi}$, to the new variables ξ and ζ defined via

$$\Phi a = 4\pi G H \xi, \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{\delta\varphi}{\dot{\varphi}} = \frac{\zeta}{H} - \left(\frac{4\pi G}{a} - \frac{K}{a^3\left(\varepsilon + p\right)}\right)\xi. \tag{20}$$

Substituting (19-20) into (17-18) and using the background equations (6-10) we easily obtain the following equations for ξ and ζ :

$$\dot{\xi} = \frac{a\left(\varepsilon + p\right)}{H^2}\zeta, \tag{21}$$

$$\dot{\zeta} = \frac{c_S^2 H^2}{a^3\left(\varepsilon + p\right)}\left(\Delta + Kf\right)\xi \tag{22}$$

where

$$f = \frac{\dot{\varphi}\left(c_s^{-2}p_{,\varphi} - \varepsilon_{,\varphi}\right)}{H\left(\varepsilon + p\right)}. \tag{23}$$

The linearized equations (21-22) significantly simplify in two important cases: a) in spatially flat Universe $K = 0$ (for an arbitrary p) and b) for "hydrodynamical" matter /or scalar field without potential (for an arbitrary K). In both cases the term proportional Kf in (22) vanishes.

Action. In order to normalize the amplitude of quantum fluctuations, the action is needed. This can be obtained by expanding (1) to second order in perturbations. After use of the constraints, this expansion is reduced to an expression containing only the physical degrees of freedom [1]. However, those steps are rather cumbersome and in fact we do not need to pass through them. Up to an overall time independent factor, the action for perturbations can be unambiguously inferred directly from the equations of

motion (21-22). The overall factor can then be fixed comparing this action with previously derived actions for hydrodynamical matter and scalar field with minimal kinetic term in $K = 0$ [1] as well as $K \neq 0$ universes [4], which are particular cases of our general matter Lagrangian. The first order action which reproduces the equations of motion (21-22) is

$$S = \int \left[\xi \widehat{O} \dot{\zeta} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{H^2 c_S^2}{a^3 (\varepsilon + p)} \xi \widehat{O} (\Delta + Kf) \xi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a (\varepsilon + p)}{H^2} \zeta \widehat{O} \zeta \right] dt d^3x \quad (24)$$

where $\widehat{O} \equiv \widehat{O}(\Delta)$ is a time independent operator which still should be determined. Expressing ξ in terms of $\dot{\zeta}$ via equation (22) and substituting it in (24) one gets

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int z^2 \left[\zeta'^2 + c_S^2 \zeta (\Delta + Kf) \zeta \right] d\eta d^3x \quad (25)$$

Here we use the conformal time $\eta = \int dt/a$ instead of physical time t and prime denotes the derivative with respect to η. The variable z is defined as

$$z \equiv \frac{a (\varepsilon + p)^{1/2}}{c_S H} \left(\frac{\widehat{O}(\Delta)}{\Delta + Kf} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (26)$$

Here, the Laplacian should be understood as a c-number, representing the corresponding eigenvalue. Introducing the canonical quantization variable $v = z\zeta$ one can rewrite the action (25) in terms of v. Comparing it with the actions obtained in the literature for various particular cases [1, 4], we infer that $\widehat{O} = \Delta + 3K$. Therefore the final result is

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int \left[v'^2 + c_S^2 v (\Delta + Kf) v + \frac{z''}{z} v^2 \right] d\eta d^3x. \quad (27)$$

In a flat Universe this action and the expression for z significantly simplify. In this case the equation for the variable v immediately follows from (27) with $K = 0$, and takes the form

$$v'' - c_S^2 \Delta v - \frac{z''}{z} v = 0, \quad (28)$$

where c_S^2 is defined in (10) and z in (26). This equation has the obvious long-wavelength solution $v \propto z$.

Power spectra. For the remainder of the paper, we shall restrict our attention to the case $K = 0$. We shall be interested in calculating the spectrum of the quantity $\zeta = v/z$. This quantity is directly related to the gravitational potential Φ in terms of which the fluctuations of the temperature of background radiation in large angular scales are expressed as $\delta T/T \approx \Phi/3$. In fact, from eqs. (18-20) we immediately find that ζcan be expressed in terms of the potential Φ,

$$\zeta = \frac{5\varepsilon + 3p}{3\left(\varepsilon + p\right)}\Phi + \frac{2}{3}\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + p}\frac{\dot{\Phi}}{H} \tag{29}$$

Since the nondecaying long-wavelength solution of (28) is proportional to z, the variable ζ remains constant in this limit irrespectively to what happens with the equation of state. On the other hand, the nondecaying mode of the potential Φ is constant during any period where p/ε is constant [1]. Therefore, for this mode $\Phi = 3[(\varepsilon + p)\,/\,(5\varepsilon + 3p)]\zeta$. It is well known how to quantize the theory with the action (27) and we skip the standard steps (see, for instance [1]) going directly to the spectral density

$$P_k^{\zeta} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\left|\zeta_k\right|^2 k^3 = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2}\frac{\left|v_k\right|^2}{z^2}. \tag{30}$$

This characterizes the squared dimensionless amplitude of the perturbations in commoving scale $\lambda = 2\pi/k$. Here v_k is the solution of the mode function equation

$$v_k'' + \left(c_S^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v_k = 0, \tag{31}$$

which follows from (28) by substituting $v \propto v_k\left(\eta\right)\exp(i\mathbf{kx})$, with initial conditions corresponding to the minimal quantum fluctuations of the field v. When c_S^2 changes adiabatically, these quantum fluctuations can be unambiguously defined for those perturbations for which the potential term z''/z is small compared to $c_S^2 k^2$.

During "slow roll" inflation, the Hubble rate H, as well as c_s and $(\varepsilon + p)$ change much slower than the scale factor. Thus, from (26) we have $z''/z \approx a''/a \approx 2(Ha)^2$, where we have used $(\varepsilon + p)/\varepsilon \ll 1$. For a given wavenumber k, the potential term in (31) can be neglected at sufficiently early times, when the physical wavelength of the perturbation a/k is much smaller than the "sound" horizon $c_s H^{-1}$. In the short wavelength limit, the normalized

positive frequency modes corresponding to the minimal quantum fluctuations take the form

$$v_k \approx \frac{e^{-ikc_s\eta}}{(2c_sk)^{1/2}}, \quad (aH \ll c_sk) \tag{32}$$

The potential term z''/z starts to dominate after these modes cross the "sound" horizon. Thus the solution (32) evolves into the long wavelength solution

$$v_k \approx C_k z, \quad (aH \gg c_sk) \tag{33}$$

where C_k is a constant. This constant is found by matching both solutions in the standard way. In the transition region, the universe can be approximated by de Sitter $a \approx (H\eta)^{-1}$ and (31) becomes a Bessel equation. With the initial conditions given by (32) it is readily found that $|C_k|^2 = (2c_skz_s^2)^{-1}$, where z_s is the value of z at the moment of sound horizon crossing ($aH = c_sk$). Substituting (33) in (30) we find that the spectrum for long wavelength perturbations is given by

$$P_k^\zeta = \frac{16}{9}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{Pl}}\right)\frac{1}{c_s(1+p/\varepsilon)}\bigg|_s, \tag{34}$$

where $\varepsilon_{Pl} = G^{-2}$ is the Planckian energy density and the subscript s means that the appropriate quantity should be calculated at the moment defined by $aH = c_Sk$. This equation reduces to the standard result for slow-roll inflation driven by a potential term ($c_s = 1$). However, we see that in the general case the speed of sound appears in the denominator.

The spectrum (34) depends on the wavelength because the parameters in the right hand side are slowly varying with time, and so they will take different values as each co-moving wavelength crosses the sound horizon. The scalar spectral index n_S is equal

$$n_S - 1 \equiv \frac{d\ln P_k^\zeta}{d\ln k} = -3\left(1+\frac{p}{\varepsilon}\right) - \frac{1}{H}\left(\ln\left(1+\frac{p}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{\cdot} - \frac{1}{H}\left(\ln c_S\right)^{\cdot} + ... \tag{35}$$

where dot denotes the derivative with respect to t taken at the moment of sound horizon crossing and we skipped here the terms which are higher order in slow roll parameters $(1+p/\varepsilon)$, $(\ln c_S)^{\cdot}/H$ and their derivatives. Note that all the terms on the right hand side of the equation (35) have definite sign in generic models of inflation. Therefore inflation doesn't generically predict a

"Harrison-Zeldovich spectrum" for scalar perturbations, as it is quite often mistakenly stated, but it predicts rather a tilted spectrum with $n_S < 1$. The expression (35) differs from the appropriate expression in the case of usual inflation by the term proportional to the derivative of the speed of sound, which does not vanish in slow roll k-inflation [2]. We may add therefore, that when non-minimal kinetic terms are allowed, the possibility of locally reconstructing the Lagrangian from the power spectra meets with new obstacles. First of all, not only the potential term has to be reconstructed. And second, knowledge of the spectral indices does not give as much information about the slow roll parameters as it does in standard inflation.

The spectrum of tensor perturbations is given by the usual expression

$$P_k^h = \frac{128}{3} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{Pl}} \right) \Big|_{k=Ha} , \tag{36}$$

where the appropriate quantities should be estimated at the moment horizon crossing $k = aH$. This is not exactly the same time as the time of sound horizon crossing, but to lowest order in the slow-roll parameters this difference is unimportant. Therefore, the ratio of tensor to scalar amplitudes for the power spectra is given by

$$\frac{P^h}{P^\zeta} = 24 c_s \left(1 + \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \right) . \tag{37}$$

The tensor spectral index is defined as

$$n_T = \frac{d \ln P_k^h}{d \ln k} \approx -3 \left(1 + \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \right) . \tag{38}$$

In standard inflation ($c_s = 1$), this spectral index and the ratio (37) are not independent, and one has the so-called "consistency relation" [5] $P^h/P^\zeta = -8 n_T$, which in principle can be tested against observations. However, it is clear that this relation does not hold in k-inflation. Instead of that we have

$$\frac{P^h}{P^\zeta} = -8 c_s n_T \tag{39}$$

Thus, at least in principle, kinetic inflation is phenomenologically distinguishable from standard inflation.

When we depart from slow roll, some extra care has to be taken in comparing the scalar and tensor amplitudes, since as mentioned above the time

of horizon crossing is not the same as the time of sound horizon crossing. An example is discussed in the next section.

Power law k-inflation. As shown in [2], a Lagrangian of the form $p = g(X)\varphi^{-2}$, where g is an arbitrary function, can drive a power law expansion of the form

$$a \propto t^{\beta}. \tag{40}$$

The solutions are characterized by $X = X_0$ =const. where X_0 is a solution of the equation

$$\left(6\pi G g_{,X}^2 - g_{,X} + \frac{g}{2X}\right)_{X=X_0} = 0.$$

The power exponent is given then by $\beta = 4\pi G g_{,X}(X_0)$. During power law inflation, the speed of sound is a constant $c_s^2 = g_{,X}/\left(g_{,X} + 2X g_{,XX}\right)$. This constant is unrelated to the power exponent, and so we can think of β and c_s as parameters which can be freely adjusted by taking an appropriate function g. In particular, one can easily write down a Lagrangian for which $c_S > 1$. This interesting possibility will be discussed in more detail later.

It is clear from (26) that z is just proportional to the scale factor a. Reexpressing the scale factor in terms of conformal time η and substituting it in (31) we obtain the Bessel equation for v_k

$$v_k'' + \left[c_s^2 k^2 - \left(\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)\frac{1}{\eta^2}\right] v_k = 0, \tag{41}$$

where $\nu = (3/2) + (\beta - 1)^{-1}$. In what follows, we shall restrict attention to inflationary solutions $\beta > 1$.

Up to an irrelevant constant phase, the "positive frequency" solution $v_k = (1/2)(-\pi\eta)^{1/2} H_\nu^{(1)}(-kc_s\eta)$ reduces to (32) in the short wavelength limit $(-kc_s\eta \gg 1)$. At late times $(-kc_s\eta \ll 1)$ this solution behaves as

$$\frac{v_k}{z} \approx \frac{2^{\nu-\frac{3}{2}}\Gamma(\nu)}{(2kc_s)^{1/2}\Gamma(3/2)} \, \frac{(-kc_s\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu}}{z(\eta)}.$$

The right hand side of this equation is independent of time, and so we can evaluate it at any convenient time. It is customary to evaluate it at the time η_1 when a fiducial commoving wavenumber k_1 crosses the *cosmological* horizon, $k_1 = a_1 H_1 = -\beta[(\beta-1)\eta_1]^{-1}$. Substituting in (30) we have

$$P_k^\zeta = \left[2^{\frac{2}{\beta-1}} \left|\frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(3/2)}\right|^2 \left(\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2\beta}{\beta-1}}\right] \frac{GH_1^2}{\pi} \, c_s^{\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}} \beta \left(\frac{k}{k_1}\right)^{-\frac{2}{\beta-1}}. \tag{42}$$

For the tensor modes, we have of course the usual result. The "consistency relation" in this case reads as

$$\frac{P^h}{P^\zeta} = -8\ c_s^{1-n_T}\frac{n_T}{1-n_T/2}. \tag{43}$$

Scalar and tensor perturbations have the same power dependence in k and the spectral index $n_T = -2/(\beta-1)$ doesn't depend on the wavelength. Note that their relative normalization depends on the speed of sound, and that (42) and (43) reduce to the slow roll results in the limit of large β (or small n_T).

Discussion. In this paper we have developed the quantum theory of cosmological perturbations for the case of scalar field with a Lagrangian which is an arbitrary local function of scalar field and its first derivatives. The formalism has been derived in sufficient generality to cover flat as well as closed and open universes. The theory gives a unified treatment of some of the previously studied cases, which are reformulated in a language somewhat closer to hydrodynamics, covering also the new important case of $k-$inflation. This type of inflation is driven by non-minimal kinetic terms, whose origin can be motivated by considering the low energy effective action of string theory.

As an application, we have found general expressions for the power spectrum in the case of slow roll and power law k-inflation. In particular, we find that the "consistency relation" between the tensor spectral index and the relative amplitude of tensor and scalar perturbations in k-inflation is different from the usual one in standard inflationary models. Thus, in principle, k-inflation is phenomenologically distinguishable from the usual potential-driven inflation. Also, as it was mentioned above, the speed of sound c_s in power law inflation can be adjusted to any given value. This opens a new interesting possibility. Namely, one can build an inflationary model where the tensor modes dominate over the scalar modes, a prediction which can be confirmed or ruled out in future observations. However, as we see from (43), this is only possible when the speed of sound c_S exceeds the speed of light. Since this is a very interesting and rather exotic possibility, we would like to conclude with some speculative remarks on this topic.

First of all, let us note that the theory we consider is perfectly Lorenz invariant, but strongly nonlinear. In this case, it has been known for a long time that the propagation of signals with the speed bigger than the speed

of light is rather generic than exceptional and does not contradict to general principles [6] (incidentally, one expects that this phenomenon should also occur in string theories, which predict the Born-Infeld type action.) Returning now to the model of power law inflation, we see that a Lagrangian of the form $p = p(X)\varphi^{-2}$ can drive power law expansion with an arbitrary equation of state. In particular, the scalar field could play the role of a quintessential component in the universe with $p < 0$. In this case too the parameters can be arranged so that $c_s > 1$. Therefore it doesn't seem to be excluded that we may one day be able to communicate with distant civilizations in an efficient manner by exchanging waves of quintessence. These speculative ideas clearly require further investigation [7].

Acknowledgments J.G. acknowledges support from the program Sonderfoschungsbereichs 375, and form CICYT under contract AEN98-1093.

References

[1] V.F. Mukhanov, H.A. Feldman and R.H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rep. 115 (1992) 203.

[2] C. Armendáriz-Picón, T. Damour and V.F. Mukhanov, "k-inflation", preprint, hep-th/9904075.

[3] C. Armendáriz-Picón, T. Damour, J. Garriga and V.F. Mukhanov, in preparation.

[4] J. Garriga, X. Montes, M. Sasaki and T. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. **B513** (1998) 343.

[5] See e.g. A.R. Liddle and D.H. Lyth, Phys. Rep. **231** (1993) 1.

[6] D. Blochinzev. Space and time in microworld (in Russian), Nauka, 1970.

[7] J. Garriga, V.F. Mukhanov and A. Vilenkin, in preparation.